

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/273,445	0	3/19/1999	JAMES K. LIAO	B0801/7137/E	7143
959	7590	09/15/2003			
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD				EXAMINER	
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109				WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1617 DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003	211

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/27344 Office Action Summary —The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— **Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). **Status** 5/23/03 Responsive to communication(s) filed on ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. osition of Claims 1-24, 26-19, 46-49, 91-119, 13-133, 156-168, 167-174, 79-172, 1-24, 26-196, 199-200, 203-206, 217-235 is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) 1-24, 26-29, 46-49, 91-119, 131, -133, 156-168, 224-29, 235 is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s)_ is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s). is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 167-174, 174-182, 185-187, 190-196, 199-200, 203-206 are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on___ _ is □ approved □ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on_ ____ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office

*Certified copies not received:

☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Attachment(s)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Part of Paper No. 20

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

□ Other

Office Action Summary

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Application/Control Number: 09/273,445

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant's election of Group I, a method for increasing blood flow, and an anticoagulant as second agent in Paper No. 9, 14, 16 are acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the any errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Further elections of species are required:

Claims 217, 218, 219-221 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising diseases. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

One ultimate disease must be elected. In particular, if applicants elect cardiovascular disease in claim 220, one ultimate disease must be elected from claim 221.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: prophylactic administration, acute administration.

Application/Control Number: 09/273,445

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, chronology of administration is generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: co administration of estrogen, co administration of an ACE inhibitor.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, co administrated agents are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 09/273,445

Art Unit: 1617

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is (703) 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Webman/LR August 27, 2003

